

Interactive comment on “Word embeddings for application in geosciences: development, evaluation and examples of soil-related concepts” by José Padarian and Ignacio Fuentes

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 28 February 2019

This study discusses the potential of word embeddings to include descriptive information in geosciences. Although I found this an interesting approach, that could be particularly valuable for soil science, I struggled to see how this manuscript exactly demonstrates its potential. The conclusion that a domain-specific embeddings outperforms a general domain embeddings has been reported before. The development of the domain-specific GeoVec embedding is an interesting starting point/tool for new research and the linguistic relations captured by the model (as shown in figure 5-7) make sense. However, in my opinion, the study could have a much bigger impact if it could describe and demonstrate how we can valorize the large amounts of descriptive information into quantitative soil science. I think this is a critical issue that needs to be

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



addressed. The authors make some valuable suggestions in the 'Future work' section that could exactly do this.

Other comments: Section 3.2. Is the approach robust? It could be interesting to see how these specific pre-processing steps influence the model performance and relations. Figure 3: a relative scale is used. Can this be quantified? Section 5.2: why is there such a big difference in performance stabilisation between geosciences and biomedical sciences? What does the color code represent in figure 7?

Interactive comment on SOIL Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2018-44>, 2019.

SOILD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

