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The manuscript is generally good and suitable for publication. However, some aspects could be improved for better quality: Abstract: the objectives should come earlier in the text; not at the end. It should also indicate the results and conclusions more explicitly; Introduction: Could benefit from more references, particularly, first and second paragraphs in page 2 and first paragraph in page 3. The units in page 4, line 1, should be the same: either m² or km². Methodology: Should include a biophysical description of the study site, including soil. Could be described in a more detailed way, either by objective or by topic. The way it is written, it is not easily reproducible. Results: They should be made more explicit and clear. Otherwise, their qualitative character makes them sound like a mix of methodology and discussion to the reader. Sometimes they are mixed with methodology (i.e. lines 20, 24) The discussion could also be presented by topics to follow the results.